

```
QUIN DENVIR, Bar #49374
 1
    Federal Defender
    MARK J. REICHEL, Bar #155034
    Assistant Federal Defender
3
    801 I Street, 3rd Floor
    Sacramento, California 95814
    Telephone: (916) 498-5700
4
5
    Attorney for Defendant
    ENZOR HENDERSON
6
7
                       IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
                     FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9
10
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
11
                                       NO. CR.S-04-326-GEB
                   Plaintiff,
12
                                                  AMENDED
         V.
13
                                       STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES FOR
                                       THE PREPARATION OF A PRE PLEA
14
                                       PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATION AND
    ENZOR HENDERSON,
                                       REPORT; ORDER ON
15
                   Defendant.
                                       EXCLUSION OF TIME
16
                                       Date: January 27, 2006
                                       Time: 9:00 a.m.
17
                                        Judge: Hon. Garland E. Burrell
18
         IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto through
19
    their respective counsel, KYMBERLY SMITH, Assistant United States
20
    Attorney, attorney for Plaintiff, MARK J. REICHEL, Assistant Federal
21
    Defender, attorney for Defendant, that the status conference hearing
22
    date of December 2, 2005 shall be vacated. The parties further
23
    stipulate in their request that this court order the preparation of a
24
    Pre Plea Presentence Investigation and Report. The parties further
25
    stipulate to a return to court on the date of January 27, 2006 for a
26
    change of plea.
27
         The parties advise the court that they are in good faith
```

28

negotiations to resolve the case at present. The specifics of the case and the defendant's prior criminal history make the negotiations and possible prediction of the likely consequences of a guilty plea difficult. An investigation and report back to the parties from the probation department would greatly assist the parties in their effort to resolve the case, as the parties would have a reliable answer from a competent source. The report would add some certainty to the parties attempts to resolve the case.

Accordingly, all counsel and the defendant agree that the time under the Speedy Trial Act from the date this stipulation is lodged, through January 27, 2006, should be excluded in computing the time within which trial must commence under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to Title 18 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(H)(1)(I) delay resulting from consideration by the Court and its Probation Office of the proposed plea agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

QUIN DENVIR Federal Public Defender

DATED: November 29, 2005

/s/ MARK J. REICHEL
MARK J. REICHEL
Assistant Federal Defender
Attorney for Defendant

McGREGOR SCOTT United States Attorney

25 DATED: November 29, 2005

/s/ MARK J. REICHEL for KIMBERLY SMITH Assistant U.S. Attorney Attorney for Plaintiff

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED. Time under the Speedy Trial Act is excluded in the interests of justice and the hearing continued for the reasons set forth herein above and for the dates and times set forth therein pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. § 3161(H)(1)(I) delay resulting from consideration by the Court and its Probation Office of the proposed plea agreement.

DATED: December 1, 2005

/s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr. GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. United States District Judge